Ö. (No. 2)

v.

**EPO** 

122nd Session

Judgment No. 3716

THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,

Considering the second complaint filed by Mr P. M. Ö. against the European Patent Organisation (EPO) on 8 September 2015;

Considering Article II, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Articles 6 and 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions:

## CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. On 8 September 2015 the complainant sent to the Tribunal his submissions impugning the Administrative Council's rejection of his challenge to Administrative Council decision CA/D 11/14.
- 2. Having identified several deficiencies in these submissions, the Registrar requested, by an e-mail of 5 January 2016, that the complainant correct his submissions within seven days in accordance with Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Rules of the Tribunal. The complainant did not comply with this request.

- 3. Despite the reminder sent to him by the Registrar, the complainant failed to correct his complaint. In an e-mail of 14 March 2016 he stated that it was "too time-consuming for [him] to try to understand what [was] going on".
- 4. Since the complainant has not therefore corrected his complaint as required by the above-mentioned Article 6, paragraph 2, it is clearly irreceivable and must be summarily dismissed in accordance with the procedure provided for in Article 7 of the Rules of the Tribunal.

## **DECISION**

For the above reasons,
The complaint is dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 17 May 2016, Mr Claude Rouiller, President of the Tribunal, Mr Giuseppe Barbagallo, Vice-President, and Ms Dolores M. Hansen, Judge, sign below, as do I, Andrew Butler, Deputy Registrar.

Delivered in public in Geneva on 6 July 2016.

CLAUDE ROUILLER

GIUSEPPE BARBAGALLO

DOLORES M. HANSEN

ANDREW BUTLER