

EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION

***In re* Sokolov (No. 2)
(Application for review)**

Judgment No. 1999

The Administrative Tribunal,

Considering the application filed by Mr Dmitri Konstantinovich Sokolov on 1 March 2000 for review of Judgment 1940;

Considering Article II, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Article 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions;

CONSIDERATIONS

1. By Judgment 1940 the Tribunal dismissed Mr Sokolov's complaint against the World Health Organization (WHO). Alleging unjust treatment by the WHO and citing the precedent set in Judgment 442 (*in re* deVillegas No. 4) the complainant is seeking review of Judgment 1940. He presses his earlier claims to the Tribunal to order the WHO to send certain documents to the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund and to pay him compensation for the injury of various kinds which he alleges to have suffered.

2. As the Tribunal said in Judgment 442, its judgments carry the authority of *res judicata* and will be reviewed only in exceptional cases. Allegations of a mistake of law, misappraisal of the facts or failure to admit evidence do not constitute admissible grounds for review.

3. In the present case none of the complainant's allegations constitutes admissible grounds for review. His pleas being clearly irreceivable, the Tribunal will dismiss his application in accordance with the summary procedure set in Article 7 of its Rules.

DECISION

For the above reasons,

The application is dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 10 May 2000, Mr Michel Gentot, President of the Tribunal, Miss Mella Carroll, Vice-President, and Mr James K. Hugessen, Judge, sign below, as do I, Catherine Comtet, Registrar.

Delivered in public in Geneva on 12 July 2000.

(Signed)

Michel Gentot

Mella Carroll

James K. Hugessen

